



**sycomore
am**

JANUARY 2023

SFDR - Sustainability- related disclosures

Sycomore Sélection Midcap



a) Summary	3
b) No sustainable investment objective	3
c) Environmental or social characteristics of the financial product	4
d) Investment strategy.....	4
e) Proportion of investments	5
f) Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics	6
g) Methodologies	6
h) Data sources and processing.....	7
i) Limitations to methodologies and data.....	10
j) Due diligence.....	11
k) Engagement policies	11

**a) Summary**

Upcoming information.

b) No sustainable investment objective

This financial product promotes environmental or social characteristics but does not have as its objective sustainable investment.

Four layers are implemented to avoid occurrence of significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective, on an ex-ante basis, prior to any investment-decision.

Indeed, investments targeted by one or more of the criteria below will not be considered as a sustainable investment:

- **As per the Management Company's SRI exclusion policy** : activities are restricted for their controversial social or environmental impacts, as defined and revised annually in Sycomore AM's core policy (applicable to all Sycomore AM's direct investments), and in the Socially Responsible Investment Policy (SRI) policy (applicable to all open-ended UCITs, mandates and dedicated funds managed according to an SRI strategy), such as: violations of fundamental rights, controversial and nuclear weapons, conventional weapons and ammunitions, thermal coal, tobacco, pesticides, pornography, carbon-intensive energy generation, oil & gas.
- **Companies affected by a level 3/3 controversy**: identified based on the Investment Manager's thorough analysis of controversies. The most severe controversy classification (-3 on Sycomore AM's scale, which range from 0 to -3) is considered a violation of one of the principles of the United Nations' Global Compact.
- **SPICE rating below 3/5**: The SPICE methodology, through its 90 criteria, covers all environmental, social and governance issues targeted by the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors listed within the draft Regulatory Technical Standards. A lower rating, below 3/5, indicates a lower sustainability performance on one or more adverse impacts.
- **As per Sycomore AM's Principle Adverse Impact (PAI) policy**: a PAI policy applied to identify further potential significant harm across environmental and social matters targeted by the PAI indicators listed in Table 1 of Annex I is implemented. Companies meeting any exclusion criterion regarding GHG emissions, biodiversity, water, waste, gender equality, UN Global Compact principles/OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises compliance, or controversial weapons, will be reported as "not sustainable".

The development of Sycomore AM's analysis framework "SPICE" as well as the exclusion policy have been inspired by the OECD's Guiding Principles for multinational companies, the United Nations' Global Compact, the International Labour Organization's international standards and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. To assess the fundamental value of a company, analysts systematically examine how a firm interacts with its stakeholders. This fundamental analysis is designed to understand strategic challenges, business models, management quality and degree of involvement, and the risks and opportunities faced by the company. Sycomore AM has also defined its Human Rights Policy in compliance with the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.

Despite the above-described due diligence set to detect potential breaches of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, actual compliance of the analyzed issuers can never be guaranteed.

**c) Environmental or social characteristics of the financial product**

As indicated in the prospectus, the Fund aims to outperform the benchmark MSCI EMU SMID Cap Net Return index over a period of five years, according to a multi-thematic socially responsible investment process linked to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

The investment process draws from our SPICE research framework and our societal and environmental contribution indicators.

No reference benchmark has been designated to determine whether this financial product is aligned with the environmental and/or social characteristics that it promotes.

d) Investment strategy

The Fund's investment strategy is based on a net asset exposure of 60% to 100% to Eurozone equities. These shares are selected based on a thorough fundamental analysis of companies, without sector restrictions but with a capitalisation constraint, with 51% of net assets at all times exposed to shares of companies capitalising less than 7 billion euros. It aims to identify quality companies whose market valuation is not representative of their intrinsic value as determined by the management team.

ESG analysis is a fully integrated component of the fundamental analysis of companies in the investment universe and carried out according to Sycamore AM proprietary 'SPICE' methodology. SPICE is the acronym for the global, financial, and extra-financial methodology of analysis and evaluation. It aims to understand how the value created by a company is allocated among all its stakeholders (investors, environment, customers, employees, suppliers and civil society). The investment manager believes that an equitable sharing of value between the stakeholders is an important factor in the development of a company.

The investment universe of the Fund is built according to specific criteria into the overall SPICE methodology (see next item on binding elements of the investment strategy).

Sycamore AM SPICE methodology also contributes to analyzing a company's exposure and/or contribution to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Within the People pillar, the approach to evaluating human capital in the company refers explicitly to SDGs 3, 4, 5, 8 and 10 on social issues such as health, lifelong learning, gender equality, full employment, decent work and reducing inequality. Within the Society & Suppliers pillar, the assessment of the societal contribution is based on the analysis of positive and negative contributions from business activities under 4 pillars (access and inclusion, health and security, economic and human progress and employment) and refers explicitly to SDGs 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16 and 17. Within the Environment pillar, the assessment of the net environmental contribution (NEC) analyses the positive and negative impacts of companies and their products and services on 5 issues (climate, biodiversity, water, waste/resources and air quality) directly related to environmental SDGs 2, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15. The Master Fund also undertakes to report annually on the portfolio companies' exposure to SDGs.

Governance is part of the SPICE analysis, including a dedicated governance section ("G" section) within the "I" section involving a significant focus on management structures, and governance items embedded into the other parts of the analysis framework, notably employee relations and remuneration of staff within the "P" section, and tax practices within the "S" section. Overall governance of issues associated with each type




of stakeholder (Society, People, Investors, Clients and the Environment) is addressed in each according section.

Further requirements to exclude from the investable universe insufficient governance practices from the “G” section, associated with a minimum threshold, can be found in Sycomore AM’s exclusion policy.

e) Proportion of investments

Binding elements of the investment strategy, used to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product, are required for any investment of the Sub-fund (excluding cash or derivatives held for liquidity purposes).



The Fund makes a minimal commitment regarding a minimum share of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective (1%).

The Fund makes a minimal commitment regarding a minimum share of investments in sustainable investments with a social objective (1%).

However, the Fund commits to invest a minimum of 50% of its net assets into sustainable investments, either with an environmental or a social objective.

Investments included under “#2 Other” relate to derivatives used for hedging purpose, to cash held as ancillary liquidity or to cash equivalent such as sovereign bonds.

Bonds, other international debt securities and short-term negotiable securities from public issuers are selected through an in-house rating of the issuing State strictly above 2.5 on a scale of 5 (5 being the highest rate), the State being thus considered as sufficiently sustainable and inclusive.



Cash and derivatives held for hedging purpose, by nature, are not subject to any minimum environmental or social safeguards.

The policy for using derivatives, whose underlying assets are subject to the SPICE analysis process, is compatible with the objectives of the Fund and consistent with its inclusion in a long-term perspective. It is not intended to significantly or permanently distort the ESG selection policy. The use of derivatives is limited to techniques allowing for efficient management of the portfolio of securities in which the Fund is invested. The Fund may not hold a short position in any asset selected as ESG, according to its own method of ESG asset selection.

f) **Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics**

Sycamore AM's investment managers are responsible for the monitoring of environmental or social characteristics of the funds, using all data and methodologies in use at Sycamore AM with the assistance of ESG research personnel and subject to first and second levels of controls in order to ensure a proper execution of the investment strategies in compliance with ESG constraints proper to each fund.

g) **Methodologies**

The following binding criteria apply to the Fund.

At investment level, the Fund will make sustainable investments with environmental or social characteristics based on the following set of selection and exclusion filters, applicable to all investments of the financial product:

- **A filter of selection:** the net assets of the Fund will be exposed from 70% to 100% to listed equities of companies whose activities contribute to sustainable development opportunities. Such companies can be of any of the four categories:
 - **Social contribution:** Companies that have a **Societal Contribution rating** greater than or equal to +10% within the Society & Suppliers pillar of Sycamore AM SPICE methodology.
 - **Environmental contribution:** Companies with an NEC (Net Environmental Contribution) rating greater than or equal to +10% within the Environment pillar of the SPICE methodology.
 - **SPICE leadership:** Companies with a SPICE rating above 3.5/5, reflecting the analysis of best practices in terms of sustainable development.
 - **SPICE transformation:** For up to 15% of net assets, companies with, cumulatively,
 - i) a **SPICE rating** between 3 and 3.5/5,
 - ii) a **recognized strategy of fundamental transformation** in terms of sustainable development (product or services offerings, or changes in its practices). The Fund is therefore tasked with supporting the environmental, social, societal and governance transformation of these companies. The areas for improvement identified by the management company must be met within a maximum period of two years.
- **A filter of exclusion:** any company which presents risks in terms of sustainable development. Identified risks include inadequate non-financial practices and performance likely to jeopardize the competitiveness of companies. A company is thus excluded if:
 - i) it is involved in activities identified in Sycamore AM SRI **exclusion policy** for their controversial social or environmental impacts, or
 - ii) obtained a **SPICE rating** below 3/5, or
 - iii) if the company is affected by a **level 3/3 controversy**.



At product level, the Management Company aims at having a better result compared to the Fund’s Benchmark on the two following indicators:

- Net Environmental Contribution ;
- Societal contribution of products and services.

In addition, the Fund commits to the following binding element:

- At least 50% of the net assets of the Fund are invested in sustainable investments, either with an environmental objective, or a social objective.

h) Data sources and processing

Below is a summary of the various data sources and required processing for inputs supporting the environmental & social characteristics of the financial products, as well as the components underlying the definition of a “sustainable investment” as interpreted by the investment manager.

The four metrics underlying the positive contributions in the context of sustainable investments are associated with thresholds set out explicitly in the pre-contractual disclosures:

- NEC ≥ +10%
- Societal Contribution ≥ +30%
- The Good Jobs Rating ≥ 55/100
- Happy@Work environment ≥ 4.5/5

As further explained in the table below, it must also be clarified that the scope of analysis of each of the four metrics is the analysed company as a whole. More precisely:

- The NEC and the Societal Contribution are based on a breakdown of all the analysed company’s revenues. Each share of the company’s revenues is associated with an environmental contribution (as part of the NEC) or a societal contribution, which can be negative or positive. The analysed company’s NEC or Societal Contribution is therefore a weighted-average output from all the company’s revenues
- The Good Jobs Rating and the Happy@Work environment are based on all the analysed company’s headcount. More precisely, the Good Jobs Rating uses as key input a breakdown of all the company’s headcount, including sector and location; while the Happy@Work analysis covers company practices, considering both positive and negative items, across the whole firm.

Input	Data sources	Data processing	Data quality management	Use of estimates	Coverage *	More details can be found at:
Net environmental contribution	Company’s reports	SAM analysis, especially conversion of revenue breakdowns into positive/negative contributions	Analysis updated at least every 2 years, allowing for gap analysis between former and updated values.	Mostly based on actuals. Some fine breakdowns require analyst estimates based on company’s public disclosures	Required for positive contribution (sustainable investments)	https://nec-initiative.org/
Societal Contribution						https://en.sycamore-am.com/download/381500688
The Good Jobs Rating	Company’s reports	SAM analysis, especially conversion of headcount		Based on (quantitative) actuals.		https://en.sycamore-am.com/download/185432188



Input	Data sources	Data processing	Data quality management	Use of estimates	Coverage *	More details can be found at:
		information (inc. sector, location, etc.)				
Happy@Work environment	Company's reports and employees' interviews	SAM analysis, conversion of (mostly qualitative) assessments into a rating		Based on (mostly qualitative) actuals.		https://en.sycamore-am.com/download/381500688
SPICE	Miscellaneous public sources	SAM analysis, conversion of (mix of qualitative and quantitative) assessments into a rating		Based on (mix of qualitative and quantitative data) actuals.	Required for investment	https://en.sycamore-am.com/download/381500688
Exclusion policy	Trucost, GCEL, GOGEL, MSCI	Data processing based on automated rules on a weekly basis.	Manual screenings, including transition matrices between former and updated exclusion lists, on an annual basis.	Sector data based on actual revenue breakdowns, acquired from third-party.	Required for investment	https://en.sycamore-am.com/download/569428451
Controversy analysis	MSCI	Sufficiently severe controversies reported by third-party followed by SAM further analysis	Most severe controversies analysis associated with detailed management procedure	None	Required for investment	https://en.sycamore-am.com/download/381500688
PAI policy						https://en.sycamore-am.com/esg-research-material?category=policies
GHG emissions	SBTi, Iceberg Data Lab	Use of data collected from: - SBTi - Iceberg Data Lab (SB2A temperatures) Qualitative proxy: SAM analysis.	SBTi recorded targets stored and updated on periodic basis, with gap analysis (former/updated) SB2A computation by third-party associated with data quality management process.	Use of actual SBTi target or alternatively SB2A temperature computed by third-party. Alternative proxy based on qualitative alignment & trajectory analysis (no estimates)	Quantitative (SBTi/SB2A): c. 70% Qualitative proxy: remaining c. 30%	See above under "PAI policy"



Input	Data sources	Data processing	Data quality management	Use of estimates	Coverage *	More details can be found at:
			Qualitative proxy: analysis updated at least every 2 years.			
Fossil fuel sector	Trucost, GCEL, GOGEL	Part of exclusion policy, see above	Part of exclusion policy, see above	Part of exclusion policy, see above	Rules-based screening: 100%	See above under "PAI policy"
Water	Factset, MSCI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Quantitative data used as minimum threshold for further analysis - Qualitative analysis of relevant controversies (MSCI input + SAM analysis), complemented by SAM analysis on relevant matters 	Qualitative proxy: analysis updated at least every 2 years.	Qualitative analysis by SAM (water pollution and hazardous waste management), no estimates	Controversy analysis: 100%	See above under "PAI policy"
Waste	Factset, MSCI				Controversy analysis: 100%	See above under "PAI policy"
Biodiversity	Factset	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Qualitative data from third-party used as a signal for further analysis by SAM 	Signals all further examined by SAM.	No estimates for this input	Data provider: c. 20% Qualitative proxy: remaining 80%	See above under "PAI policy"
UNGC/OECD GME violations	MSCI + SAM analysis	Part of controversy analysis, see above	Part of controversy analysis, see above	Part of controversy analysis, see above	Controversy analysis: 100%	See above under "PAI policy"
UNGC/OECD GME monitoring	Factset + SAM analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Qualitative data from third-party used as a signal for further analysis by SAM - Further analysis drawing from SPICE analysis 	<p>Signals all further examined by SAM, as well as issuers not covered by third-party data.</p> <p>SPICE content updated at least every 2 years.</p>	No estimates for this input	Data provider: c. 97% Additional SAM analysis: 100%	See above under "PAI policy"
Board gender diversity	Factset	Male/Female ratio associated with basic exclusionary thresholds	Uncertain figures verified on an ad hoc basis directly with the issuers by analysts	Based on reported actuals	Data provider: 99%	See above under "PAI policy"



Input	Data sources	Data processing	Data quality management	Use of estimates	Coverage *	More details can be found at:
Gender pay gap	Factset, company's reports	Gender pay gap associated with basic exclusionary thresholds	Uncertain figures verified on an ad hoc basis directly with the issuers by analysts	Based on reported actuals	Quantitative : c. 33% Qualitative proxy: remaining c. 67%	See above under "PAI policy"
Controversial weapons	MSCI	Part of exclusion policy, see above	Part of exclusion policy, see above	Part of exclusion policy, see above	Rules-based screening: 100%	See above under "PAI policy"

* Quantitative coverage estimates based on EuroStoxx as of 14/12/2022.

i) Limitations to methodologies and data

Methodologies implemented by Sycomore AM are based on a qualitative and quantitative analysis of companies' ESG data. ESG data, whether sourced from external and/or internal sources is by nature subject to experience and skills of Sycomore AM's analysts. Despite robust methodological frameworks, a part of subjectivity and discretion remains in the interpretation and use of ESG data. However, ESG information from third parties' data may be incomplete, incorrect or unavailable. ESG data providers are private companies that provide ESG data for a variety of issuers. They may therefore change the valuation of issuers or instruments at their discretion. The ESG approach may evolve over time, due to the refinement of investment decision making processes to reflect ESG factors and risks, and/or due to legal and regulatory developments. Finally, the application of ESG criteria to the investment process may exclude securities from certain issuers for non-financial reasons and, as a result, may result in the loss of certain available market opportunities for funds that do not use ESG or sustainability criteria.

Most data are reported by companies and thus not estimated by a data provider or by Sycomore AM. However, specific limitations are associated with PAI data, which include:

- **Completeness:** coverage of dataset varies from 10% to 100% of the Eurostoxx. Indeed, some data are not always published by companies. The implementation of the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive in the coming years will be a key element to increase data availability. Engagement on disclosure is also an important focus for Sycomore AM to tackle this issue.
- **Heterogeneous definition and methodology:** all PAI indicators are not fully defined by the regulation. Consequently, discrepancies in the definition and methodology may occur from a company to another. A quality check on the dataset aims at identifying outlier values that may be checked or not considered if there is no possibility to correct the data.
- **Accuracy:** for some PAI indicators accurate dataset are still not available. Therefore, qualitative proxies, mainly based on our SPICE fundamental analysis model are used.

Limitations, however, do not prevent the financial product from attaining its sustainable investment objective, given the approach to data taken and explained under the previous sub-section "Data sources and processing", including in particular:

- Use of actuals to the extent possible (with associated use of estimates reduced to the extent possible), notably for positive contribution criteria and exclusion policy
- Data quality management processes
- Extended coverage (across all investments)



j) Due diligence

100% of the funds' assets are assessed for ESG rating, excluding cash at sight and derivatives, through in-house ESG analysis and ESG scoring methodology "SPICE", mutual funds being subject to specific ESG due diligences. ESG analysis is conducted by the research personnel and subject to first and second levels of controls.

k) Engagement policies

Sycamore AM operates voting and engagement policies that are about encouraging companies to improve their sustainability practices over the long term by suggesting areas for improvement as part of a constructive dialogue and long-term monitoring process. Shareholder engagement is a key feature of our role as responsible investors seeking to generate impacts, with a view to developing more sustainable business models able to meet today's societal and environmental challenges.